

**III YEAR - V SEMESTER
COURSE CODE: 7BMA5C1**

CORE COURSE - IX – REAL ANALYSIS

Unit – I

Introduction – Sets and functions – Countable and Uncountable sets – Inequalities of Holder and Minkowski – Metric spaces – Definition and examples – Bounded sets in a metric space – Open Ball in a metric space – Opensets.

Unit – II

Subspace – Interior of a set – Closed sets – Closure – limit point – Dense sets – Completeness – Baire's Category Theorem

Unit – III

Continuity – Homeomorphism – Uniform continuity.

Unit – IV

Connectedness – Definition and examples – Connected subsets of R – Connectedness & Continuity.

Unit – V

Compact Metric spaces – Compact subsets of R – Equivalent Characterization for Compactness – Compactness and Continuity.

Text Book:

1. Modern Analysis, Dr. S.Arumugam& Mr. A.Thangapandilssac, New Gamma Publishing House, Palayamkottai, Edition 2015.

Unit I	Chapter 1 sections 1.1 to 1.4 Chapter 2 sections 2.1 to 2.4
Unit II	Chapter 2 sections 2.5 to 2.10 & Chapter 3
Unit III	Chapter 4 sections 4.1 to 4.3
Unit IV	Chapter 5
Unit V	Chapter 6

Book for Reference:

1. Richard R.Goldberg, Methods of Real analysis, IBM Publishing, New Delhi.



Problem 3 :

If d is a metric on M is d^* a metric on M ?

Soln: Consider $d^*(x,y)$ defined on P by

$$d^*(x,y) = |x-y|$$

We know that d is metric on P .

$$d^*(x,y) \geq |x-y|^2 = (x-y)^2$$

But d^* is not a metric (refer problem 2).

Problem 4.

If d is a metric on M . Prove that \sqrt{d} is a metric on M .

Soln:

Let $x,y,z \in M$.

$\therefore d(x,y) \geq 0$ (since $d(x,y) \geq 0$)
we have $\sqrt{d(x,y)} \geq 0$.

$$\text{Also } \sqrt{d(x,y)} = \sqrt{d(y,x)}$$

$$\text{Now, } d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{d(x,z)} \leq \sqrt{d(x,y)} + \sqrt{d(y,z)}$$

$\leq \sqrt{d(x,y)} + \sqrt{d(y,z)}$ since last \leq follows from d .

Hence \sqrt{d} is a metric on M .

Problem 5 :

(*)

Let (M,d) be a metric space. Define

$$d_1(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}. \text{ Prove that } d_1 \text{ is a metric on } M.$$

Soln:

$$d_1(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} \geq 0 \quad (\text{since } d(x,y) \geq 0)$$

$$d(x, y) = 0 \text{ iff } x = y$$

$$\text{and } d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

Now, let $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p$

using $\|x\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^p |x_i|^p}$ and $\|x - y\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^p |x_i - y_i|^p}$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^p |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |y_i - z_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Hence d is a metric on \mathbb{R}^p .

Example 10.

Let M be the set of all bounded real valued functions defined on a non-empty set E . Define $d(f, g) = \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in E\}$

d is a metric on M .

$$\text{Proof: } d(f, g) = \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)|\} \geq 0$$

$$\text{Also, } d(f, g) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)|\} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |f(x) - g(x)| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in E$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x \in E$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f = g$$

$$\text{Also, } d(f, g) = \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)|\}$$

$$= \sup \{|g(x) - f(x)|\}$$

$$= d(g, f)$$

Now, let $f, g, h \in M$

Def: Note let A be a countably infinite set
then there exists a bijection f from \mathbb{N} to A

i.e. $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(n) = a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

therefore $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ is a sequence of elements of A

that list the elements of A in some order
by using the elements of \mathbb{N} .

Example 1: The set $\{2, 3, 4, \dots, n\}$ is a countable set

Example 2:

Countable (refer Example 1)

Example 3:

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$

The function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ defined by $f(n) = a_n$ is a
bijection

Hence A is countable

Theorem 1:

A subset of a countable set is countable

Proof: Let A be a countable set and let $B \subseteq A$

Q.E.D. If A or B is finite, then

obviously B is countable

Note

\mathbb{R}^n with usual metric has metric of metric
Euclidean metric

Example 5.

consider \mathbb{R}^2 , let $p \geq 1$

Proof:

$$\text{define } d(x,y) = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p}$$

$d \in (\text{metric})$ when d is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n
we prove the condition to that d is metric

Example 6.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$
where $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. we define $d(x,y) =$
 $|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$. Then d is a metric on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof:

$$d(x,y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \geq 0$$

$$d(x,x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow |x_1 - x_1| + |x_2 - x_2| = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x_1 - x_1| = 0 \text{ and } |x_2 - x_2| = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } x_2 = x_2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

$$d(x,y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$$

$$= |y_1 - x_1| + |y_2 - x_2|$$

$$= d(y,x)$$

Course code - 7BMAFCI

Core - Real Analysis

Unit - I

Countable Sets:

Defn: Bijection

Two sets A and B are said to be equivalent if there exists a bijection f from A to B.

Note :

- * Two finite sets A and B are equivalent iff they have the same number of elements
- * A finite set cannot be equivalent to a proper subset of itself
- * An infinite set can be equivalent to a proper subset.

Example :

Let $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n, \dots\}$

Then $f: A \rightarrow B$ defined by $f(n) = 2n$ is a bijection. Hence A is equivalent to B even though A has actually more elements than B.

Hence let A and B be both infinite
 since A is countably infinite we can write
 $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$ Let a_{n_1} be the
 first element in B such that $a_{n_1} < a_1$,
 be the first element in A which follows
 a_{n_1} such that $a_{n_2} < a_2$

Proceeding like this we get $B = \{a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \dots\}$
 thus all the elements of B can be labelled
 by using the elements of N. Hence B is
 countable.

Theorem 1.2

\mathbb{Q}^+ is countable

Proof: Take all positive rational numbers whose
 numerator and denominator add up to 2.
 we have only one number namely $\frac{1}{1}$.

Next we take all positive rational
 numbers whose numerator & denominator up to 3.
 we have $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{1}$.

Next we take all positive rational
 numbers whose numerator & denominator
 add up to 4.

we have $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{2}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{1}$.

Proceedings like this, we can list all the
 positive rational numbers together from the

f is 1-1

Now, suppose (and try to prove) that

there (x_n, y_n) is $N \times N$ and $f(x_n) = (y_m, z_n)$

f is onto. Then f is a bijection.

Hence $A \times B$ is equivalent to $N \times N$

which is countable

Hence $A \times B$ is countable

Theorem 1.6

Let A be a countably infinite set and f be a mapping of A onto a set B . Then B is countable.

Proof:

Let A be a countably infinite set and $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an onto map.

Let $b \in B$. Since f is onto, there exists at least one pre-image of b . choose one element $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$.

Now, define $g: B \rightarrow A$ by $g(b) = a$

Clearly g is 1-1

B is equivalent to a subset of the countable set A .

B is countable.

Example 2

\mathbb{N} is equivalent to \mathbb{Z} .

Then function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, defined by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1-n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

is a bijection. Hence \mathbb{N} is equivalent to \mathbb{Z} .

Definition:

(D) A set A is said to be Countably infinite if A is equivalent to the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} .

A is said to be countable if it is finite or countably infinite.

Note:

Let A be a countably infinite set.
Then there is a bijection f from \mathbb{N} to A .

Let $f(1) = a_1, f(2) = a_2, \dots, f(n) = a_n$.

Then $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$

Thus all the elements of A can be labelled by using the elements of \mathbb{N} .

Example 9.

Let $p > 1$. Let l_p denote the set of all sequences (x_n) such that

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p$ is convergent. Define $d(x, y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p \right)^{1/p}$

where $x = (x_n)$ and $y = (y_n)$

Then d is metric on l_p .

Proof:

Let $a, b \in l_p$

First we prove $d(a, b)$ is a real number.

By Minkowski's Inequality we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i + b_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

since $a, b \in l_p$ the right hand side (1)

has a finite limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i + b_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$ is a convergent series

Similarly we can prove that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - b_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$

also a convergent series and hence $d(a, b)$ is a real number.

Now, taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i + b_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \triangleq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |b_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

Obviously $d(x, y) \geq 0$,

Problem 3.

? Any infinite set is equivalent to a proper subset of itself.

Soln: Let A be an infinite set.

By problem 2 above, A contains a countably infinite subset - subset $B = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$

Clearly $A \subset (A-B) \cup B$.

Now consider the following subset C of A given by

$$C = (A-B) \cup \{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots\} = A-f_0$$

clearly C is a proper subset of A .

Consider the function $f: A \rightarrow C$ defined by

$$f(x) = x \quad x \in A-B \text{ and}$$

$$f(a_n) = a_{n+1}$$

Obviously f is a bijection. Hence A is equivalent to C .

UNCOUNTABLE SETS

Definition:

A set which is not countable is called uncountable.

All the infinite sets we have considered in the previous section are countable.

We shall now give an example of an uncountable set.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

\therefore

$$\text{Using this in (1) we get } \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{1/p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{1/p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

Note:

If we put $p = 2 = q$ in Holder's inequality we get the following inequality which is known as Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

Theorem 1.10

(Minkowski's Inequality)

$$\text{If } p \geq 1, \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i + b_i|^p \right]^{1/p} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{1/p} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^p \right]^{1/p}$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n are real numbers

Proof: This inequality is trivial when $p = 1$, let $p > 1$

$$g(x)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

thus $g(x)$ is a "matrix" on \mathbb{R}^n , this is called the usual metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\text{Proof: } d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} \geq 0$$

$$d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} > 0$$

$$(x_i - y_i)^2 \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\text{so } (x_i - y_i)^2 > 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} > 0$$

$$\text{Also } d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} \geq 0 \text{ and } d(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$= d(y,x)$$

To prove the Triangle Inequality, let us

$$a_i = x_i - y_i, b_i = y_i - z_i \text{ and } d = a + b$$

Nicolaescu's Inequality tells us that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - z_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - z_i)^2}$$

$$d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$$

$$d(x,z) \text{ is minimum in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\text{we have } \left| f(x) - h(x) \right| \leq \left| f(x) - g(x) \right| + \left| g(x) - h(x) \right|$$

$$\therefore \sup \left\{ \left| f(x) - h(x) \right| \right\} \leq \sup \left\{ \left| f(x) - g(x) \right| \right\} +$$

$$\sup \left\{ \left| g(x) - h(x) \right| \right\}$$

$\therefore d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$

Hence d is a metric on M .

Example 11.

Let M be the set of all sequences in \mathbb{R} .

Let $x, y \in M$ and let $x = (x_n)$ and $y = (y_n)$

$$\text{Define } d(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{2^n(1+|x_n - y_n|)}$$

then d is a metric on M .

Proof Let $x, y \in M$. First we prove that

$d(x, y)$ is a real number ≥ 0 .

$$\text{we have } \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{2^n(1+|x_n - y_n|)} \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ for all } n$$

Also, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$ is a convergent series

$\therefore \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{2^n(1+|x_n - y_n|)}$ is a convergent series

$\therefore d(x, y)$ is a real number and $d(x, y) \geq 0$

$$\text{Now, } d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{2^n(1+|x_n - y_n|)} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x_n - y_n| = 0 \text{ for all } n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_n = y_n \text{ for all } n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } d(x, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n|} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|y_n - x_n|}{s^n (1 + |y_n - x_n|)} \\ &\leq d(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

Now : Let $x, y, z \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \frac{|x_n - z_n|}{1 + |x_n - z_n|} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|x_n - z_n|}{1 + |x_n - z_n|}} \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|}} \\ &= \frac{|x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n|} + \frac{|y_n - z_n|}{1 + |y_n - z_n|} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by ζ_n and taking the sum

from $n=1$ to ∞ we get $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

$\therefore d$ is a metric on M .

Example 12.

Let ℓ^∞ denote the set of all bounded sequences of real numbers.

Let $x = (x_n)$ and $y = (y_n) \in \ell^\infty$ define d on ℓ^∞ as $d'(x, y) = \text{lub} |x_n - y_n|$

Then d is a metric on ℓ^∞

$$x^{\frac{1}{p}} y^{\frac{1}{q}} - \frac{x}{p} = \frac{y}{q} \geq 0 \quad (\text{since } 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q})$$

$$x^{\frac{1}{p}} y^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q}$$

RECONSIDERING by

Now to prove Hölder's inequality, we apply the above inequality to the

$$\text{Numbers } x_j = \frac{|a_j|^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p}; y_j = \frac{|b_j|^q}{\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q} \quad (\text{for all } j=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{we get } \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Adding these n inequalities we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| |b_i| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{x_j}{p} + \frac{y_j}{q} \right)$$

$$\text{Now } \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{x_j}{p} + \frac{y_j}{q} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j$$

$\|x - y\|_p = \sqrt[p]{|x_1 - y_1|^p + |x_2 - y_2|^p + \dots + |x_n - y_n|^p}$

$\Rightarrow \|x - y\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p} \geq \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n 1} = n^{1/p}$

$\Rightarrow \|x - y\|_p \geq n^{1/p}$

$\Rightarrow \|x - y\|_p \geq \sqrt[n]{n} = n^{1/n}$

Example 7

In \mathbb{R}^n we define

$$\text{dist}(x, y) = \max \{ |x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|, \dots, |x_n - y_n| \}$$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

Then dist is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\text{dist}(x, y) = \max \{ |x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|, \dots, |x_n - y_n| \}$$

$$\leq |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| + \dots + |x_n - y_n| = \|x - y\|_1$$

$$= \|x - y\|_1 \leq \text{dist}(x, y) + \text{dist}(y, z) + \dots + \text{dist}(z, x)$$

fragment 1. 4

colorado section of Colorado River

Countable

100

10. *Leucosia* *leucostoma* *leucostoma* *leucostoma*

Table 11) Total costs of the conserving techniques

—
—
—

10. *Leucosia* *leucostoma* *leucostoma* *leucostoma* *leucostoma*

$$A_0 = \{0_{n_1}, 0_{n_2}, \dots, 0_{n_m}, \dots\}$$

10. The following table shows the number of hours worked by 1000 workers in a certain industry.

REFERENCES

新編 金匱要略 卷之二十一

10. The following table gives the number of hours worked by each of the 1000 workers.

(2) We can't be countable

for each i chose a set B_i such that B_i is a countably infinite set and $A_i \subseteq B_i$.

THE HORN

Now, USB is controlled by Intel!

\mathbb{Q} is countable (by theorem 1.)

Chapter 2

METRIC SPACES

Definition and Examples

Definition:

A metric space is a non-empty set M together with a function $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in M$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- (iii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in M$
- (iv) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$

d is called a metric or distance function and $d(x, y)$ is called the distance between x and y .

Note:

The metric space M with the metric d is denoted by (M, d) or simply by M when the underlying metric d is clear from the context.

Example 1:

In \mathbb{R} we define $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, then d is a metric on \mathbb{R} . This is called the usual metric on \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Clearly $d(x, y) = |x - y| \geq 0$

$$\text{Also } d(x, y) = 0 \iff |x - y| = 0$$

$$\iff x = y$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{1/p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right]^{1/q}$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n are real numbers.

Proof: First we shall prove the inequality

$$x^{1/p} y^{1/q} \leq \frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q} \text{ where } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0$$

This inequality is trivial if $x=0$, or $y=0$.

Now, let $x, y > 0$.

Consider $g(t) = t^\lambda - \lambda t + \lambda - 1$ where $\lambda = \frac{1}{p}$
and $t \geq 0$

$$\text{then } f(t) = \lambda t^{\lambda-1} - \lambda = \lambda(t^{\lambda-1} - 1)$$

$$\therefore f(1) = f'(1) = 0$$

Also $f(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $f(t) \leq 0$ for $t > 1$.

$\therefore f(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and in

$$\text{particular } f\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \leq 0$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^\lambda - \lambda \left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + \lambda - 1 \leq 0$$

$$\therefore -\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1/p} - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + \frac{1}{p} - 1 \leq 0$$

Multiplying by y we get $x^{1/p} y^{(1-1/p)} -$

$$\frac{x}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)y \leq 0$$

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|$$

$$= |y - x|$$

$$= d(y, x)$$

Now, let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{Then } d(x, z) = |x - z| = |x - y + y - z|$$

$$\leq |x - y| + |y - z|$$

$$= d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

Hence d is a metric on \mathbb{R} .

Note:

In what follows whenever we consider \mathbb{R} as a metric space the underlying metric is taken to be the usual metric unless otherwise stated.

Example 2:

In \mathbb{C} we define $d(z, w) = |z - w|$. Then d is a metric on \mathbb{C} . This is called the usual metric on \mathbb{C} .

Note:

If the complex number $z = x + iy$ is identified with the point (x, y) the two dimensional Euclidean plane then the above distance formula take the form

$$d(z, w) = \sqrt{(x-u)^2 + (y-v)^2} \text{ where } z = x + iy \text{ and } w = u + iv$$

This is nothing but the usual distance between the points (x, y) and (u, v) in the plane.

Example 3:

on any non-empty set M we define d as

$$\text{as follows } d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Problem 6.

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Define $d_1(x, y) = \min\{1, d(x, y)\}$. Prove that d_1 is a metric.

$$\text{Sol: } d_1(x, y) = \min\{1, d(x, y)\} \geq 0$$

$$d_1(x, y) > 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

$$d_1(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \min\{1, d(x, y)\} = 0$$

$$\min\{1, d(x, y)\} = 0 \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$\text{Also } d_1(x, y) = \min\{1, d(x, y)\}$$

$$d_1(x, y) = \min\{1, d(y, x)\}$$

$$= d_1(y, x)$$

Now, let $x, y, z \in M$

$$\text{then } d_1(x, z) = \min\{1, d(x, z)\} \leq 1$$

To prove $d_1(x, z) \leq d_1(x, y) + d_1(y, z)$

If $d_1(x, y) = 1$ or $d_1(y, z) = 1$ the inequality is obvious

Let $d_1(x, y) < 1$ and $d_1(y, z) < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x, y) + d_1(y, z) &= \min\{1, d(x, y)\} + \min\{1, d(y, z)\} \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq d(x, z)$$

$$\geq \min\{1, d(x, z)\}$$

$$= d_1(x, z)$$

thus $d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z) \geq d_1(x,z)$

$\therefore d_1$ is a metric on M .

Problem #.

Let M be a non empty set. Let $d: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that

(i) $d(x,y) = 0$ iff $x=y$

(ii) $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(y,z)$ for all

$x,y,z \in M$. Prove that d is metric on M .

Sol: put $y=x$ in (ii)

We have $d(x,x) \leq d(x,x) + d(x,x)$

$\therefore 0 \leq 2d(x,x)$ by (i)

$\therefore d(x,x) \geq 0$

Now, to prove $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$

Putting $z=x$ in (ii) we get $d(x,y) \leq d(x,x) + d(y,x)$

(iii) $d(x,y) \leq d(y,x)$ (using (i))

Since this is true for all $x,y \in M$ we have $d(y,x) \leq d(x,y)$.

Hence $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$

Now (ii) can be written as $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) +$

the triangle inequality $d(z,y)$ which is

$\therefore d$ is a metric on M

$$\text{Now } d_1(x,y) \leq d_1(x,z) + d_1(z,y)$$

$$d_1(y,x) + d_2(y,x)$$

$$= d(y,x)$$

Let $x, y, z \in M$. Then we have

$$d_1(x,z) \leq d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z) \text{ and}$$

$$d_2(x,z) \leq d_2(x,y) + d_2(y,z)$$

$$\text{Adding, we get } d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$$

$\therefore d$ is a metric on M .

Problem 2.

Determine whether $d(x,y)$ defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$d(x,y) = |x-y|$$

Soln: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$d(x,y) = |x-y| \geq 0$$

$$d(x,y) = |x-y| = |y-x|$$

$$= d(y,x)$$

But triangle inequality does not hold.

Take $x = -5$, $y = -4$ and $z = 4$.

$$\text{Then } d(x,y) = (-5+4)^2 = 1$$

$$d(y,z) = (-4+4)^2 = 0$$

$$d(x,z) = (-5+4)^2 = 1$$

$$\text{Hence } d(x,z) > d(x,y) + d(y,z)$$

Hence triangle inequality does not hold.

$\therefore d$ is not a metric on \mathbb{R} .

beginning anything which includes every number

Thus we can define the set $\{n(x_1, x_2, \dots)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

This set contains every positive rational number,
each appearing exactly one time. Thus \mathbb{Q}^+ is countable.

Theorem : 3

\mathbb{Q} is countable

Proof

We know that \mathbb{Q}^+ is countable

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{Q}^+ = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Q} = \{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_n, \dots\}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be defined by

$$f(1) = 0, f(2n) = x_n \text{ and } f(2n+1) = -x_n$$

Clearly f is a bijection and hence \mathbb{Q} is countable.

Theorem : 4

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable

Proof

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \{(a, b) / a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Take all ordered pairs (a, b) such that
 $a+b=2$

There is only one such pair namely $(1, 1)$

Next take all ordered pairs (a, b) such that $a+b=3$

Theorem 1.8

$(0,1]$ is uncountable.

Proof: Every real number in $(0,1]$ can be

written uniquely as a non-terminating decimal

$a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \dots$ where $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$ for each i subject

to the following restriction that any terminating

decimal, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n 000 \dots$ is written as

$a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots (a_{n-1}) 999 \dots$.

For example, $54 = .53999 \dots$

$1 = .999 \dots$

Suppose $(0,1]$ is countable.

Then the elements of $(0,1]$ can be listed as

$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ where $x_i = a_{i1} a_{i2} \dots a_{in}$

$x_1 = .a_{11} a_{12} \dots a_{1n} \dots$

\vdots

$x_n = .a_{n1} a_{n2} \dots a_{nn} \dots$

\vdots

Now, for each positive integer n choose an integer b_n such that $0 \leq b_n \leq 9$ and $b_n \neq a_{nn}$ and $b_n \neq 0$.

Let $y = b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots$

Clearly $y \in (0,1]$

Also y is different from each x_i at least in the i^{th} place.

Hence $y \neq x_i$ for each i which is a contradiction.

Hence $(0,1]$ is uncountable.

where have $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$

Now take all ordered Pairs (a, b) such that $a+b=4$

We have $(3, 1), (2, 2)$ and $(1, 3)$

Proceeding like this (and listing all the ordered pairs together along the diagonal), we get the set $\{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 1)\}$

This set contains every ordered pair belonging to $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ exactly once.

Thus $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable.

Theorem 1.5

If A and B are countable sets then $A \times B$ is also countable.

Proof: We assume that A and B are countably infinite.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \dots\}$

Now define $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \times B$ by $f(i, j) = (a_i, b_j)$

We claim that f is a bijection.

Suppose $x = (p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $y = (u, v) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

where, $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow (a_p, b_q) = (a_u, b_v)$

$$\Rightarrow a_p = a_u, b_q = b_v$$

$$\Rightarrow p = u \text{ and } q = v$$

$$\Rightarrow (p, q) = (u, v)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y$$

Problem 9:

In a metric space (M, d) prove that

$$|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M$$

Sol: Let $x, y, z \in M$

$$\text{we have } d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

$$\therefore d(x, z) - d(y, z) \leq d(x, y) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Interchanging x and y in (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, z) - d(x, z) &\leq d(y, x) \\ &= d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore d(y, z) - d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we get $|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y)$

Problem 8.

If $(M_1, d_1), (M_2, d_2), \dots, (M_n, d_n)$ are metric spaces then $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$ is a metric space with metric d defined by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, y_i) \text{ where } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \\ y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

~~Def:~~ $d(x, y) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, y_i) \geq 0$

Also $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, y_i) = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow d_i(x_i, y_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = y$$

Also $d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, y_i)$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(y_i, x_i)$$

$$= d(y, x)$$

Now let $x, y, z \in M$

$$\text{then } d(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, z_i) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n [d_i(x_i, y_i) + d_i(y_i, z_i)] \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x_i, y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(y_i, z_i) \\ = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

$$\therefore d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

Hence d is a metric on M .

Problem 1.

Any countably infinite set is equivalent to a proper subset of itself.

Sol: Let A be a countably infinite set.

$$\text{Hence } A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$$

$$\text{Let } B = \{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$$

Clearly B is a proper subset of A .

Define a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ by $f(a_n) = a_{n+1}$

Clearly f is a bijection. Hence A is

equivalent to B .

Problem 2:

Any infinite set contains a countably infinite subset.

Sol: Let A be an infinite set.

Choose any element $a_1 \in A$

Now, since A is infinite set, we can

choose another element $a_2 \in A - \{a_1\}$.

Now, suppose we have chosen a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n from A .

Since A is infinite, $A - \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is also infinite.

∴ We can choose a_{n+1} from $A - \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$

Now, $B = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots\}$ is

Countably infinite subset of A .

Clearly, $\left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| + |b_i| \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \sum_{i=1}^n [|a_i| + |b_i|]^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n [|a_i| + |b_i|]^{p-1} (|a_i| + |b_i|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| (|a_i| + |b_i|)^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i| (|a_i| + |b_i|)^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^{(p-1)p/q} \right\}^{1/q} + \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^p \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^{(p-1)p/q} \right\}^{1/q}, \\ &\quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \text{ (using Holder's Inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ we have $p/q = pq$.

Hence $(p-1)q = p$

∴ Dividing by $\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$ we get

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^p \right]^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\therefore \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| + |b_i|)^p \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^p \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\text{Also, } d(x, y) = \max \{ |x_1 - y_1|,$$

$$\dots, \max \{ |x_i - y_i| \},$$

$$|x_n - y_n| \}$$

Now, let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since each $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}$

We have, $|x_i - z_i| \leq |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\therefore \max \{ |x_i - z_i| \} \leq \max \{ |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i| \}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

Hence d is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 8.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be given fixed positive real numbers.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$

$$\text{we define } d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i |x_i - y_i|$$

then d is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Notes:

A non-empty set M can be provided with different metrics.

For example, \mathbb{R}^n has been provided with five different metrics as seen from the example 6 to 8.

$$d(x, y) = \inf_{z \in M} |x_n - y_n|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$d(x, y) > 0 \Leftrightarrow d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) > 0$$

$d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) = 0$ for all n since

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) \geq 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Now consider $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, y_n) = d(x, y)$

$$\leq \inf_{z \in M} d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_n, z)$$

$$\leq \inf_{z \in M} d_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x_n, z) = d(x_n, z)$$

$$\leq d(x_n, y_n)$$

$$\text{Since, } |x_n - y_n| \leq |x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n) + d(y_n, z_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z_n)$$

Solved Problems:-

Problem 1:

Let d_1 and d_2 be two metrics on M . Define

$$d(x, y) = d_1(x, y) + d_2(x, y). \text{ Prove that } d \text{ is a metric on } M.$$

$$\text{Sol: } d(x, y) = d_1(x, y) + d_2(x, y) \geq 0$$

$$d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow d_1(x, y) + d_2(x, y) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d_1(x, y) = 0 \text{ and } d_2(x, y) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = y$$

Example 1: $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n, \dots\}$ is a
Countable set

Example 2:

\mathbb{Z} is countable

Example 3:

$$\text{Let } A = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots \right\}$$

The function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is a bijection. Hence A is countable.

Theorem 1.1

A subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof: Let A be a countable set and let $B \subseteq A$. If A or B is finite, then obviously B is countable. Hence let A and B be both infinite.

Since A is countably infinite, we can write

$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$, let a_1 be the first element in A such that $a_1 \in B$. Let a_2 be the first element in A which follows a_1 , such that $a_2 \in B$.

In proceedings like this we get

$B = \{a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \dots\}$, thus all the elements of B can be labelled by using the elements of \mathbb{N} . Hence B is countable.

then d is a metric on M . This is called the discrete metric on M .

Proof:

Clearly $d(x,y) \geq 0$ and $d(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$.

$$\text{Also, } d(x,y) = d(y,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x=y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

$\therefore d(x,y) + d(y,z) \geq 1$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Now let $x, y, z \in M$.

case (i) $x = y$

then $d(x,y) = 0$

Also, $d(x,y) + d(y,z) \geq 0$

$\therefore d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

case (ii) $x \neq y$

then $d(x,y) = 1$

Also, since x, y are distinct, y can

not be equal to both x and z .

Hence either $y \neq x$ or $y \neq z$.

$\therefore d(x,y) + d(y,z) \geq 1$

$d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

Thus $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Hence d is a metric on M .

Example A

In \mathbb{R}^n we define $d(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$d_1(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x,y) = 0$$

d_1 is a metric if it satisfies

$$\text{Also, } d_1(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}$$

$$\underline{d_1(x,y)}$$

$$(1+d(x,y)) > 1$$

$$= d_1(y,x)$$

Now let $x, y, z \in M$

$$\text{then } d_1(x,z) = \frac{d(x,z)}{1+d(x,z)}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{1+d(x,z)}$$

$$\leq 1 - \left[\frac{1}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)} \right]$$

$$\frac{d(x,y)+d(y,z)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)}$$

$$\frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)} + \frac{d(y,z)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)}$$

$$\leq \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} + \frac{d(y,z)}{1+d(y,z)}$$

$$= d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z)$$

Thus, $d_1(x,z) \leq d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z)$

d_1 is a metric on M .

Corollary 1:

Any subset A of \mathbb{R} which contains $[0, 1]$ is uncountable.

Proof:

Suppose A is countable.

By theorem 1, any subset of \mathbb{N} is countable. Hence we get $[0, 1]$ is countable which is a contradiction.

A is uncountable.

Corollary 2: \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

The result follows directly by taking $A = \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3:

The set S of irrational numbers is uncountable.

Proof: Suppose S is countable.

We know that \mathbb{Q} is countable.

Such $S \cup \mathbb{Q}$ is countable which is contradiction.

$\therefore S$ is uncountable.

①

Inequalities of Holder and Minkowski

Theorem 1.9

(Holder's Inequality) If $p > 1$ and q is

such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

We can choose, $x_n \in B(x, 1/n)$ in A

such that,

$x_n \neq x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$ for each n

$\therefore (x_n)$ is a Sequence of points in A

Also, $d(x_n, x) < 1/n + n$

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$

$\therefore (x_n) \rightarrow x$

conversely,

Suppose, If a Sequence (x_n) in A $\ni (x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Then, for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a true Integer n_0 such that $d(x_n, x) < \lambda \forall n \geq n_0$

$\therefore x_n \in B(x, \lambda) \forall n \geq n_0$

$B(x, \lambda) \cap A \neq \emptyset$

$\therefore \boxed{x \in \bar{A}} \quad (\because \text{If } x \in \bar{A}, \text{ iff } B(x, \lambda) \cap A \neq \emptyset \forall \lambda > 0)$

Further, if (x_n) is a Sequence of distinct points in A is infinite

$\therefore x \in D(A)$

$\therefore x$ is a limit point of A.

Complete!

A Metric Space M is Said to be Complete if every

cauchy Sequence in M converges to a points in M.

Theorem: 2

Any discrete Metric Space is complete.

Proof: Let (M, d) be a discrete Metric Space.

Let (x_n) be a cauchy Sequence in M

Then for a true integer $n_0 \ni$

$d(x_n, x_m) < 1/2 \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0$

Thus, (x_n) is a sequence in A converging to x .

$$x \in \bar{A}$$

Since, A is closed, iff $A = \bar{A}$

$$\therefore x \in A$$

Thus, every Cauchy sequence (x_n) in A converges to a point in A .

$\therefore A$ is complete.

Q.E.D.

Cantor Intersection Theorem:-

Let M be a metric space. M is complete iff for every sequence (f_n) of non-empty closed subsets of M such that $f_1 \supseteq f_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq f_n \supseteq \dots$ and $(d(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ f_n is non-empty.

Proof:

Let M be a metric space. Let (f_n) be a sequence of closed subsets of M such that $f_1 \supseteq f_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq f_n \supseteq \dots$ and $(d(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

Tip:

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \neq \emptyset$$

for each the integer n

choose a point $x_n \in f_n$

$\Rightarrow x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ all lies in f_n .

$\Rightarrow x_m \in f_n \forall m \geq n$

Since, $(d(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$, given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a few integer

n_0 such that

$d(f_n) < \epsilon, \forall n \geq n_0$

$\Rightarrow d(f_{n_0}) < \epsilon$

$\Rightarrow d(x_1, x_{n_0}) < \epsilon \quad \forall x_1 \in f_1$

- $\Rightarrow d(x,y) < r$
- $\Rightarrow d(x,y) < d(x,z) - r$
- $\Rightarrow d(x,z) > d(x,y) + r$

Now, $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(x,y) &\geq d(x,z) - d(y,z) \\ d(x,y) &> d(x,z) + r - d(y,z) \\ \Rightarrow d(x,y) &> r \\ \Rightarrow y &\notin B[x,r] \\ y &\in B[x,r]^c \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B(x,r) \subseteq B[x,r]^c$

$\therefore B[x,r]^c$ is open in M

(ii) $B[x,r]$ is closed.

Hence every closed ball is a closed set.
 \forall In any metric space arbitrary intersection of closed sets is closed.

Let (M,d) be a metric space

Let $\{A_i | i \in I\}$ be a collection of closed sets

To prove:
 $\cap A_i$ is closed.

$\forall i \in I \Rightarrow$ (i.e.) to prove that $\cap_{i \in I} A_i^c$ is open.

Using De-morgan's law,

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} (\cap A_i)^c = \cup A_i^c \\ \text{for } i \in I \end{array}}$$

Since, each A_i is closed,

then A_i^c is open.

$\therefore (x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$

Also, (x_n) is a Sequence in A and (y_n) is a

Sequence in B.

$\therefore x \in \bar{A}$ and $y \in \bar{B}$

$\therefore (x,y) \in \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$

$\therefore \bar{A} \times \bar{B} \subseteq \bar{A} \times \bar{B} = \emptyset$

Now, let $(x,y) \in \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$

$\therefore x \in \bar{A}$ and $y \in \bar{B}$

$\therefore \exists$ a Sequence (x_n) in A and a Sequence (y_n) in B

$\text{S.t. } (x_n) \rightarrow x \text{ and } (y_n) \rightarrow y$

$\therefore ((x_n, y_n))$ is a Sequence in $A \times B$ Which converges

to (x,y)

i.e) $((x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow (x,y)$

$\therefore (x,y) \in \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$

$\therefore \bar{A} \times \bar{B} \subseteq \bar{A} \times \bar{B} = \text{(2)}$

From (1) & (2) We get $\bar{A} \times \bar{B} = \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$

Hence proved //

2) p.T any non-empty open interval (a,b) in R is
of Second category.

Let (a,b) be a non-empty open interval in R.

Suppose, (a,b) is of first category.

Now, $[a,b] = (a,b) \cup \{a\} \cup \{b\}$

$\therefore [a,b]$ is of first category.

Since, M is complete by Cantor's intersection theorem,
If a point x in M $\exists: x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$

Also, each F_n is disjoint from A_n

Hence $x \notin A_n$ for all n .

$$\therefore x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

$$\therefore \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq M$$

Hence, M is of Second category.

Definition:

* A subset A of a Metric Space M is said to be nowhere dense in M if $\text{Int } \bar{A} = \emptyset$

* A subset A of a metric Space M is said to be of first category in M if A can be expressed as a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

* A set which is not of first category is said to be of second category.

Note:

If A is of first category then $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, Where E_n is nowhere dense subsets in M .

Problem:

Let A, B be a subsets of \mathbb{R} p.t $\overline{A \times B} = \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$

Proof: Let $(x, y) \in \overline{A \times B}$

\therefore If a sequence $(x_n, y_n) \in A \times B \ni$

$$(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$$

Note:

* Let M be a Metric Space and $A \subseteq M$.

Then, $\bar{A} = \text{AUD}(A)$

* A is closed $\Leftrightarrow A$ contains all its limit points.

i.e) A is closed $\Leftrightarrow D(A) \subseteq A$

* $x \in \bar{A} \Leftrightarrow B(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset \forall r > 0$

Dense:

A subset A' of a Metric Space M is said to be dense in M (or) everywhere dense. If $\bar{A}' = M$

A Metric Space M is said to be Separable if there exists a countable dense subset in M .

Convergent:

Let (M, d) be a Metric Space.

Let $(x_n) = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of points in M . Let $x \in M$. We say that (x_n) converges to x . If given $\epsilon > 0$ \exists a tve integer n_0 such that $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon \forall n \geq n_0$.
Also, ' x ' is called a limit of (x_n) .

If (x_n) converges to x we write,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \quad (\text{or}) \quad (x_n) \rightarrow x$$

Theorem:

For a convergent sequence (x_n) the limit is

unique.

PF:

Suppose, $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then \exists a tve integer n_1 and n_2 such that,

$$d(x_n, x) < \eta_2 \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m) \\ &\leq \eta_2 \epsilon + \eta_2 \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } d(x_n, x_m) \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0$$

Hence, (x_n) is Cauchy Sequence in \mathbb{H}

Note!

The converse of the above theorem is not true.

Note!

(i) Let \mathbb{M} be a metric space and $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}$. Then $\bar{A} = \text{AUD}(A)$

(ii) For any subset A of a metric space, $d(A) = d(\bar{A})$

Where $d(A)$ is a diameter of A .

Theorem:

Let \mathbb{M} be a metric space and $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}$. Then,

(i) $x \in \bar{A}$ iff there exists a sequence (x_n) in A such that $(x_n) \rightarrow x$

(ii) x is a limit point of A iff for a sequence (x_n) of distinct points in $A \setminus \{x\}$, $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Proof:

(i) Let $x \in \bar{A}$

T.P. $(x_n) \rightarrow x$

Given, $x \in \bar{A}$, then $x \in \text{AUD}(A)$

$\therefore x \in A$ (or) $x \in D(A)$

If $x \in A$, then the constant sequence x, x, \dots

is a sequence in A converging to x .

If $x \in D(A)$ then the open ball $B(x, r_n)$ contains infinite number of points of A .

UNIT-II

Subspace:

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let M_1 be a non-empty subset of M . Then M_1 is also a metric space with the same metric d . We say that (M_1, d) is a Subspace of (M, d) .

Interior of a Set:

Let (M, d) be a metric Space. Let $A \subseteq M$. Let $x \in A$. Then x is said to be an interior point of A . if there exists a real number r such that $B(x, r) \subseteq A$.

The set of all interior points of A is called the interior of A and it is denoted by $\text{Int } A$.

Note: $\text{Int } A \subseteq A$

Closed Sets:

Let (M, d) be a metric Space. Let $A \subseteq M$. Then A is said to be closed in M . If the complement of A is open in M .

Example 1: In \mathbb{R} with usual metric any closed interval $[a, b]$ is closed set.

$$[a, b]^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus [a, b] = (-\infty, a) \cup (b, \infty)$$

Also, $(-\infty, a)$ and (b, ∞) are open in \mathbb{R} .

i.e. $[a, b]^c$ is open in \mathbb{R} .

$\therefore [a, b]$ is closed in \mathbb{R} .

Ans:

Example 4: P.T \mathbb{Z} is closed.

$$\mathbb{Z}^c = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (n, n+1)$$

The open interval $(n, n+1)$ is open and union of open sets is open.

\mathbb{Z}^c is open. Hence \mathbb{Z} is closed.

$$f_0 \supseteq f_1 \supseteq f_2 \dots \supseteq f_n \supseteq \dots$$

$$\bar{f}_0 \supseteq \bar{f}_1 \supseteq \bar{f}_2 \dots \supseteq \bar{f}_n \supseteq \dots$$

clearly, (\bar{f}_n) is a decreasing sequence of closed sets.

Since (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$$

For any integer $n \geq n_0$,

$$d(f_n) = d(\bar{f}_n) \quad (\because d(n) = d(\bar{n}))$$

$$d(\bar{f}_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

$$(d(\bar{f}_n)) \rightarrow 0$$

Hence,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_n \neq \emptyset$$

Let

$$\text{let } x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_n$$

Then $x, x_n \in \bar{f}_n$

$$d(x, x_n) \leq d(\bar{f}_n)$$

$$d(x, x_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

$$\therefore (x_n) \ni x$$

Hence, H is complete.

Theorem 4

Bol's Category Theorem

Any complete Metric Space is of Second

Category.

But, $[a,b]$ is a Complete Metric Space and hence
is of second category which is $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$
 $\therefore (a,b)$ is of Second category.

$$d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \text{, and}$$

$$d(x_m, y) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall m \geq n_0$$

Let 'm' be a p.v integer such that $m \geq n_0, n_1$

$$\text{Then, } d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, y)$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$

$$d(x, y) < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) = 0$$

Since, $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary $d(x, y) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x=y}$$

Hence, for a convergent sequence (x_n) the limit is unique.

Cauchy Sequence:

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let (x_n) be a sequence of points of M . (x_n) is said to be a cauchy sequence in M if given $\epsilon > 0 \exists$ a p.v integer no n_0 s.t. $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq n_0$

Theorem:

\wedge Let (M, d) be Metric Space. Then any convergent sequence in M is a cauchy sequence.

Proof:

Let (x_n) be a convergent sequence in M converging to $x \in M$.

i.e) $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Then, \exists a p.v integer no n_0 s.t.

By thm:

In any metric Space the union of any family of open set is open.

Hence, $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i^c$ is open

i.e I

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^c$ is open

i.e I

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ is closed

i.e I

3) In any metric Space the union of a finite number of closed set is closed.

Let (M, d) be a metric Space

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be a closed sets in M .

using De-morgan's law:

$$(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap A_3^c \dots \cap A_n^c$$

Since, each A_i^c is open, then A_i^c is open.

By thm:

In any Metric Space the intersection of finite number of open set is open.

$A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_n^c$ is open

$(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)^c$ is open

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ is closed.

Closed ball:

Let (M, d) be a Metric Space. Let $a \in M$. Let τ be any two real numbers. Then the closed ball (a, τ) the closed sphere with centre 'a' and radius ' τ ' denoted by $B_d(a, \tau)$ is defined by.

$$B_d(a, \tau) = \{x \in M \mid d(a, x) \leq \tau\}$$

We write $B(a, \tau)$ instead of $B_d(a, \tau)$

i) In any metric space every closed ball is a closed set.

Let (M, d) be a metric space

Let $B(a, \tau)$ be a closed set.

To prove:

$$B(a, \tau)^c \text{ is open}$$

Case (I):

$$\text{Suppose } B(a, \tau)^c = \emptyset$$

$\therefore B(a, \tau)^c$ is open

$\therefore B(a, \tau)$ is closed.

Case (II):

$$\text{Suppose } B(a, \tau)^c \neq \emptyset$$

Let $x \in B(a, \tau)^c$

$$\Rightarrow x \notin B(a, \tau)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(a, x) > \tau$$

$$\Rightarrow d(a, x) - \tau > 0$$

$$\text{let } \tau_1 = d(a, x) - \tau$$

To prove: $B(x, \tau_1) \subseteq B(a, \tau)^c$

$$\text{Let } y \in B(x, \tau_1)$$

Now,

$$x_m \in f_n \text{ & } m \geq n_0$$

$$m, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow x_m, x_n \in f_n$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon, \text{ & } n, m \geq n_0$$

$\therefore (x_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in M .

Since M is complete then \exists a point $x \in M$

$$\exists: (x_n) \rightarrow x$$

T.P:

$$x \in \overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n}$$

for any +ve integer n ,

x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots is a sequence in f_n converges.

By theorem,

Let M be a metric space.

Let $A \subseteq M$, then $x \in \bar{A} (\Rightarrow \exists$ a sequence (x_n) in A

$$\exists: (x_n) \rightarrow x$$

$$x \in \overline{f_n}$$

Since, f_n is closed then $f_n = \overline{f_n}$

$$x \in \overline{f_n}$$

$$x \in \overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n}$$

Hence, $\overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n} \neq \emptyset$

Conversely,

Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in M .

$$\text{Let } f_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$$

$$f_2 = \{x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$$

\vdots

$$f_n = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}$$

Proof:

Let M be a complete metric space.

L.P.: M is not of first category.

Let (A_n) be a sequence of nowhere dense sets in M .

$$\text{Tp: } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq M$$

Since, M is open and A_1 is nowhere dense. If an open ball say B_1 of radius less than 1, B_1 is disjoint from A_1 .

Let F_1 denote the concentric closed ball whose radius is $\frac{1}{2}$ times that of B_1 , i.e. d from A_1 .

Now, $\text{Int } F_1$ is open and A_2 is nowhere dense.

\therefore $\text{Int } F_1$ contains an open ball B_2 of radius less than $\frac{1}{2}$ times that of B_1 is disjoint from A_2 .

Let F_2 be the concentric closed ball whose radius is $\frac{1}{2}$ times of B_2 .

Now, $\text{Int } F_2$ is open and A_3 is nowhere dense.

\therefore $\text{Int } F_2$ contains an open ball B_3 of radius less than $\frac{1}{2}$ such that B_3 is disjoint from A_3 .

Let F_3 be the concentric closed ball whose radius is $\frac{1}{2}$ times of B_3 .

Proceeding like this we get a sequence of non-empty closed balls F_n such that $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \dots$

$$\text{and } d(F_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Hence, $(d(F_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Closure:

Let A be a subset of Metric Space (M, d) . The closure of A , denoted by \bar{A} is defined to be the intersection of all closed sets which contain A .

Thus, $\bar{A} = \{B \text{ is closed in } M \text{ and } A \subseteq B\}$

Theorem:

S.T A is closed iff $A = \bar{A}$

Proof:

Suppose, $A = \bar{A}$

Tp: A is closed.

Since, \bar{A} is closed, then A is closed.

Conversely. Suppose A is closed

Then the smallest closed set containing A is A itself

$$\therefore A = \bar{A}$$

Hence proved.

Defn:

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let $x \in M$. Let $x' \in M$. Then ' x' ' is called a limit point (or) a cluster point (or) an accumulation point of A if every open ball with centre x' contains atleast one point of A different from x' .

i.e. $B(x, r) \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$

The set of all limit points of A is called the derived set of A and is denoted by $D(A)$.

Since 'd' is the discrete metric Space distance between any two points either 0 or 1.

$$\therefore d(x_n, x_m) = 0 \text{ or } n \neq m$$

$$\therefore x_n \neq x_m \forall n \neq m$$

$$d(x_n, x) > 0 \forall n \neq m$$

$$\therefore (x_n) \not\rightarrow x$$

Hence, M is complete.

Theorem 2:

If A is a subset of a complete metric Space M then A is complete $\Leftrightarrow A$ is closed.

Proof:

Suppose, A is complete

i.e. A is closed.

ii) To prove that, A contains all its limit points.

Let x be a limit point of A

Then, by theorem 1, \exists a sequence (x_n) in A

$$\ni (x_n) \rightarrow x$$

Since, A is complete, $x \in A$

$\therefore A$ contains all its limit points.

Hence A is closed.

Conversely,

Let A be a closed subset of M.

i.e. A is complete

Let (x_n) be a Cauchy Sequence in A.

Then (x_n) is a Cauchy Sequence in M and

Since M is complete

$$\exists x \in M \ni (x_n) \rightarrow x$$

Thus, every Cauchy sequence does not converge in A to a point in A .

A is complete.

Hence proved. \square

3) The Metric Space $[0,1]$ and $[0,2]$ with usual metric are homeomorphic.

Define $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,2]$ by $f(x) = 2x$

Clearly, f is 1-1 and onto.

Also, $f(x_1 + x_2)$

$$= f^{-1}(x_1 + x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$$

$\therefore f$ and f^{-1} are both continuous.

$\therefore f$ is a homeomorphism.

4) Let d_1 be the usual Metric on $[0,1]$ and d_2 be the usual Metric on $[0,2]$.

The Map $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,2]$ defined by $f(x) = 2x$ is not an isometry.

Pf:

Let $x, y \in [0,1]$

$$\text{Then } d_2(f(x), f(y)) = |f(x) - f(y)|$$

$$= |2x - 2y|$$

$$= 2|x - y|$$

$$d_2(f(x), f(y)) = 2 d_1(x, y)$$

$$\therefore d_1(x, y) \neq d_2(f(x), f(y))$$

$\therefore f$ is not isometry.

Let, $x \in f^{-1}(B(f(x)), \epsilon)$

$\exists \delta > 0 : \epsilon > 0$ such that

$$B(x, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B(f(x)), \epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(x), \epsilon)$$

Since $x \in m_1$ is arbitrary,

f is continuous.

3) Let m_1, m_2, m_3 be Metric Space. If $f: m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ and $g: m_2 \rightarrow m_3$ are continuous function. p.t. $g \circ f: m_1 \rightarrow m_3$ is also continuous.

(i.e) Composition of two cts function is cts.

Let $f: m_1 \rightarrow m_2$

$g: m_2 \rightarrow m_3$ be cts function

T.P.

$g \circ f: m_1 \rightarrow m_3$ is cts

(Pf) To prove that $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$ is open in m_1 .

Since g is continuous then $g^{-1}(U)$ is open in m_2 .

Since f is continuous then $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$ is open in m_1 .

$(g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$ is open in m_1 .

$\therefore (g \circ f)$ is continuous.

4) Let M be a Metric Space let $f: M \rightarrow R$ and $g: M \rightarrow R$ be two cts function. p.t. $f+g: M \rightarrow R$ is continuous.

Let $f: M \rightarrow R$ and $g: M \rightarrow R$ be cts function

T.P.

$(f+g)$ is continuous

Let $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ in M

Since, f and g are cts. Then

$$(f(x_n)) \rightarrow f(x); (g(x_n)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$(f(x_n) + g(x_n)) \rightarrow (f(x) + g(x))$$

Theorem 1

Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces.
Then any constant function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is continuous.

Proof:

Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be given by $f(x) = a$.

Where $a \in M_2$ is a fixed element.

Let $x \in M_1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$f(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(x), \epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq B(a, \epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(B(x, \delta)) + \delta \text{ of } \subseteq B(a, \epsilon)$$

$\therefore f$ is continuous at x .

Since, $x \in M_1$ is arbitrary.

f is continuous.

Problems

i) Let f be a Continuous real-valued function defined on a Metric Space M . Let $A = \{x \in M \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$ prove that A is closed.

$$\text{Let } A = \{x \in M \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$$

$$= \{x \in M \mid f(x) \in [0, \infty)\}$$

$$A = f^{-1}([0, \infty))$$

Also, $[0, \infty)$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

Since, f is continuous $f^{-1}([0, \infty))$ is closed in M

$\therefore A$ is closed.

Defn: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be 1-1 onto Map. f is said to be an isometry if $d_1(x, y) = d_2(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in M_1$.

In other words, an isometry is a distance preserving map.

M_1 and M_2 are said to be isometric if there exists an isometry f from M_1 onto M_2 .

Problem:

In \mathbb{R}^2 with usual Metric and C with usual Metric are isometric and $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow C$ defined by $f(x, y) = ix + y$ is the required isometry.

Let d_1 denote the usual Metric on \mathbb{R}^2 and d_2 denote the usual Metric on C .

Let $a = (x_1, y_1)$ and $b = (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } d_1(a, b) &= \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + i(y_1 - y_2)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(ix_1 + y_1) - (ix_2 + y_2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$d_2(a, b) = d_2(f(a), f(b))$$

$\therefore f$ is an isometry

Defn: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Space. A

function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is said to be uniformly continuous on M_1 if given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that.

$$d_1(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$$

UNIT III

Defn: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a function. Let $a \in M_1$ and $\epsilon \in M_2$. The function f is said to have a limit as $x \rightarrow a$ if given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_1(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon.$$

We write, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$

Defn: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces. Let $a \in M_1$. A function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is said to be continuous at 'a' if given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that,

$$d_1(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon.$$

f is said to be continuous if it is continuous at every point of M_1 .

Note:

(1) f is continuous at 'a' $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$

(2) The Condition $d_1(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon$

can be rephrased as (ii) $x \in B(a, \delta) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B(f(a), \epsilon)$

(iii) $f(B(a, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(a), \epsilon)$

$$(f+g) \circ x \rightarrow (f+g)x$$

$f+g$ is continuous.

Thm 4.3

Let (m_1, d_1) and (m_2, d_2) be two Metric Space. A function $f: m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ is continuous iff $f^{-1}(U)$ is closed in m_1 . When f is closed in m_2 .

Proof:

Suppose $f: m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ is continuous.

Let $f \subseteq m_2$ (closed in m_2)

$\therefore f^c$ is open in m_2 .

By the thm,

Let (m_1, d_1) and (m_2, d_2) be two Metric Space.

Let $f: m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ is cl. iff $f^{-1}(G)$ is open in m_1 . Whenever G is open in m_2 .

$f^{-1}(f)$ open in m_1 ,

$[f^{-1}(f)]^c = [f^{-1}(f)]^c$ is open in m_1 .

$[f^{-1}(f)]^c$ is open in m_1 .

Conversely,

Suppose $f^{-1}(f)$ is closed in m_1 . Whenever f is closed in m_2 .

T.P:

f is continuous.

(ie) to prove that $f^{-1}(G)$ is open in m_1 .

Let G be an open in m_2 .

G^c is closed in m_2

$\therefore f^{-1}(G^c)$ is closed in m_1 ,

$[f^{-1}(G)]^c$ is closed in m_1 [$I - f^{-1}(G^c) = [f^{-1}(G)]^c$]

$f^{-1}(G)$ is open in m_1

$\therefore f$ is continuous.

\Rightarrow proves that f is continuous iff inverse image of every open set is open.

Statement: Let (M_1, d_1) and (N_2, d_2) be two Metric Space.

Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow N_2$ is continuous iff $f^{-1}(G)$ is open

Whenever G is open in N_2 .

Proof:

Suppose f is continuous

Let G_1 be an open set in N_2 .

To prove:

$f^{-1}(G_1)$ is open in M_1 .

If $f^{-1}(G_1) = \emptyset$, then it is open

If $f^{-1}(G_1) \neq \emptyset$, then $x \in f^{-1}(G_1)$

$$f(x) \in G_1$$

Since G_1 is open, then there exists an open ball $B(f(x), \epsilon)$

\Rightarrow

$$B(f(x), \epsilon) \subseteq G_1$$

Then there exists an open ball

$B(x, \delta)$ such that $(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(x), \epsilon)$

$$\Rightarrow f(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq G_1$$

$$\Rightarrow B(f(x), \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(G_1)$$

$\therefore x \in f^{-1}(G_1)$ is arbitrary, $f^{-1}(G_1)$ is open.

Conversely:

Suppose G_1 is $f^{-1}(G)$ is open in M_1 . Whenever G is open in N_2 .

To prove: f is Continuous

Let $x \in M_1$

$\Rightarrow B(f(x), \epsilon)$ is open Set in N_2 .

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon))$ is open in M_1 .

Theorem: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces. Let $a \in M_1$. A function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is continuous at a iff $(x_n) \rightarrow a \Rightarrow (f(x_n)) \rightarrow f(a)$.

Suppose f is continuous at ' a '.

To prove: $(x_n) \rightarrow a \Rightarrow (f(x_n)) \rightarrow f(a)$

Let (x_n) be a sequence in M_1 such that $(x_n) \rightarrow a$.

(i.e.) To prove:

$$(f(x_n)) \rightarrow f(a)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. $\exists r_1 < 0$, such that

$$d_1(x_0, a) < r_1 \Rightarrow d_2(f(x_0), f(a)) < \epsilon$$

Since, $(x_n) \rightarrow a$, the $\exists r_1 < 0$ and there is a positive integer n_0 ,

$$\Rightarrow d_1(x_{n_0}, a) < r_1 \Rightarrow d_2(f(x_{n_0}), f(a)) < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow (f(x_{n_0})) \rightarrow f(a)$$

Conversely,

Let $(x_n) \rightarrow a \Rightarrow (f(x_n)) \rightarrow f(a)$.

To prove,

f is continuous at a suppose f is not continuous at ' a '.

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$.

$$f(B(a, \delta)) \not\subseteq B(f(a), \epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(B(a, 1/n)) \not\subseteq B(f(a), \epsilon)$$

choose, $x_n \in B(a, 1/n)$

$$\Rightarrow f(x_n) \notin B(f(a), \epsilon)$$

$$d_1(x_n, a) < 1/n$$

$$d_2(f(x_n), f(a)) \geq \epsilon$$

$$\therefore (x_n) \rightarrow a, (f(x_n)) \not\rightarrow f(a)$$

Which is contradiction to our assumption.

$\therefore f$ is continuous at a .

$$x = y - xy$$

$$x + xy = y$$

$$x(1+y) = y$$

$$x = y/(1+y) \in (0, 1)$$

$\therefore f$ is onto

Hence f is homeomorphic

pbm 1

p.T $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x^2$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

Let $x, y \in [0, 1]$

clearly, $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2|$$

$$\Rightarrow |x+y||x-y|$$

Since $x \leq 1$ and $y \leq 1$

Then, $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow 2|x-y|$

$$|x-y| < \frac{\delta}{2} \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon \quad (\because \delta = \frac{\delta}{2} \epsilon)$$

$\therefore f$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$

pbm 2

Prove that the function $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is not uniformly continuous.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Suppose $\exists \delta > 0 \ni |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$

Take $x = y + \frac{1}{2}\delta$

$$\text{clearly } |x-y| = \frac{1}{2}\delta < \delta$$

$$\therefore |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right| < \epsilon$$

Note:

* If $f: M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow N$ is uniformly continuous on M_1 ,
then f is continuous at every point of M_1 .

P.T. \mathbb{C} with usual metric is complete

Proof:

Let (z_n) be a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} .

Let $z_n = x_n + iy_n$, where $x_n, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

We prove that, (x_n) and (y_n) are Cauchy sequences.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Since (z_n) is a Cauchy sequence, then \exists a n_0 in \mathbb{N}

$$\exists: |z_n - z_m| < \epsilon \ \forall n, m \geq n_0$$

$$\therefore |x_n - x_m| \leq |z_n - z_m| \text{ and}$$

$$|y_n - y_m| \leq |z_n - z_m|$$

$$\text{Hence, } |x_n - x_m| < \epsilon \ \forall n, m \geq n_0$$

$$|y_n - y_m| < \epsilon \ \forall n, m \geq n_0$$

$\therefore (x_n)$ and (y_n) are Cauchy sequences in \mathbb{R} .

Since \mathbb{R} is complete, $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{R} \ \exists: (x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$.

Let, $z = x + iy$

$$\exists: (z_n) \rightarrow z$$

$$\text{We have, } |z_n - z| = |(x_n + iy_n) - (x + iy)|$$

$$= |(x_n - x) + i(y_n - y)|$$

$$|z_n - z| \leq |(x_n - x)| + |y_n - y| \rightarrow 0$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Since $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$, then \exists a n_1 in \mathbb{N} and n_2 .

such that:

$$|x_n - x| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_1$$

$$\text{and } |y_n - y| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_2$$

$$\text{Let } n_3 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow |z_{n_3} - z| \leq |x_{n_3} - z| + |y_{n_3} - z| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_3$$

$$|z_{n_3} - z| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_3$$

$$(z_{n_3}) \rightarrow z$$

$\therefore A$ is Complete.

A subset A of a Complete Metric Space M is complete iff A is closed.

Suppose, A is Complete.

To P: A is closed.

i) To prove that, A contains all its limit points.

Let ' x' be a limit point of A .

By theorem:

There exists a sequence (x_n) in A .

such that $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Since, A is Complete, $x \in A$.

$\therefore A$ contains all its limit points.

Hence A is closed.

Conversely, let A be a closed subset of M .

Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in A .

Then (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in M and since M is complete, $\exists x \in M$ such that $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Thus, (x_n) is a sequence in A converging to x .

$$\therefore x \in \overline{A}$$

Since, A is closed, then $A = \overline{A}$

Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Space. Then
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(A_n) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ for all $A \subseteq M_1$,

Suppose f is continuous.

$$f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$$

Let $A \subseteq M_1$.

$$f(A) \subseteq M_2$$

$\because f$ is l.s., $f^{-1}(f(A))$ is closed in M_1 .

$$A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\bar{A}))$$

$$\bar{A} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\bar{A})) \quad (\because A \subseteq \bar{A})$$

$$f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)} \text{ for all } A \subseteq M_1$$

Conversely,

$$f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)} \text{ for all } A \subseteq M_1$$

T.P:

f is continuous

(i.e.) $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed in M_1 .

$$\text{By hypothesis, } F(f^{-1}(f)) \subseteq \overline{f(f^{-1}(f))}$$

$$\subseteq \overline{f}$$

$$\overline{f(f^{-1}(f))} \subseteq f \quad (\because f \subseteq \overline{f})$$

$$\overline{f^{-1}(f)} \subseteq f^{-1}(f)$$

$$F^{-1}(F) \subseteq \overline{F^{-1}(f)}$$

$\therefore f^{-1}(F)$ is closed in M_1 . Hence f is continuous.

b) If $f: R \rightarrow R$ and $g: R \rightarrow R$ are both cts function on R and

If $h: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ is defined by $h(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$ p.t. h is continuous on R^2 .

Let $f: R \rightarrow R$ and $g: R \rightarrow R$ be continuous function on R .

T.P:

$h: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ is cts

(i.e.) T.P $(h(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow h(x, y)$

5) P.T. the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \sin x$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > y$

$$\sin x - \sin y = (x-y) \cos z, \text{ where } x > z > y$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\sin x - \sin y| &= |(x-y) \cos z| \\ &= |x-y| |\cos z| \end{aligned}$$

$$|\sin x - \sin y| \leq |x-y| \quad (\because |\cos z| \leq 1)$$

For given $\epsilon > 0$, we choose $\delta = \epsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We've } |x-y| < \delta &\Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\Rightarrow |\sin x - \sin y| < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f(x) = \sin x$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\} \rightarrow (x, y)$ in \mathbb{R}^2

Then $(h(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow h(x, y)$

Hence, f and g are continuous.

$(f(x_n), g(y_n)) \rightarrow (f(x), g(y))$

$(f(x_n), g(y_n)) \rightarrow (f(x), g(y))$

$(h(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow h(x, y)$

$\therefore h$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Ex: 4

The Metric Space $(0, 1)$ and $(0, \infty)$ with usual Metrics are homeomorphic.

Define $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$

$$\text{by } f(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}$$

Clearly, the usual metric are cb. (i.e.) f is continuous and f^{-1} is also continuous.

No prove that f is bijective.

(i) f is 1-1

$$f(x) = f(y)$$

$$\frac{x}{1-x} = \frac{y}{1-y}$$

$$x(1-y) = y(1-x)$$

$$xy - xy = y - xy$$

$$\boxed{x = y}$$

$\therefore f$ is 1-1

(ii) f is onto

$$f(x) = y$$

$$\frac{x}{1-x} = y$$

$$x = y(1-x)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{y+\delta} - \frac{1}{y} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{\delta}{2(y+\delta)y} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \frac{\delta}{(2y+\delta)y} < \epsilon$$

This inequality cannot be true for all $y \in \text{dom}$)

Defn: Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be two Metric Spaces. A function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called a homeomorphism if.

(i) f is 1-1 and onto

(ii) f is continuous

(iii) f^{-1} is continuous

M_1 and M_2 are said to be homeomorphic if there exist a homeomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$.

Defn:

A function $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is said to be an open Map if

$f(U)$ is open in M_2 for every open set U in M_1 .

(i) f is an open Map if the image of an open set in M_1 is an open set in M_2 .

f is called a closed Map if $f(F)$ is closed in M_2 for every closed set F in M_1 .

Note:

* Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a 1-1 and onto function. Then

f^{-1} is continuous iff f is an open Map.

Similarly, f^{-1} is continuous iff f is a closed Map.

Defn:

Let (M, d) be a Metric Space. M is said to be connected if M cannot be represented as the union of two disjoint non-empty open sets.

If M is not connected it is said to be disconnected.

Example:

Let $M = [1, 2] \cup [3, 4]$ with usual metric. Then M is disconnected.

$$\text{Let } M = [1, 2] \cup [3, 4]$$

$$\text{Let } A_1 = [1, 2]$$

$$\text{Then } A_1 = [1, 2]$$

$$A_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \right) \cap M$$

$[1, 2]$ is open in M .

Similarly, $[3, 4]$ is open in M .

$[1, 2]$ and $[3, 4]$ are open in M .

Thus, M is the union of two disjoint non-empty open sets namely $[1, 2]$ and $[3, 4]$.

Hence M is disconnected.

D) P.T If f is a non-constant real valued continuous function on \mathbb{R} , then the range of f is uncountable.

W.K.T \mathbb{R} is connected.

Since f is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} ,
 $f(\mathbb{R})$ is connected subset of \mathbb{R} .

$f(\mathbb{R})$ is an interval in \mathbb{R} .

Also, since f is a non-constant function the interval.

$f(\mathbb{R})$ contains more than one point

∴ $f(\mathbb{R})$ is uncountable.

(e) The range of f is uncountable.

(f) Any continuous image of a connected set is connected.

Statement:

Let M_1 be a connected metric space. Let M_2 be any metric space. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be continuous function. Then $f(M_1)$ is a connected subset of M_2 .

Proof: Let $f(M_1) = A$. So that f is a function from M_1 onto A .

To prove A is connected

Suppose, A is not connected.

Then, there exists a proper non-empty subset B of A .

B which is both open and closed in A .

∴ $f^{-1}(B)$ is a proper non-empty subset of M_1 .

Which is both open and closed in M_1 .

Hence M_1 is not connected. Which is a \Rightarrow

Hence, A is connected.

3) A subspace of \mathbb{R} is connected iff it is an interval.

To prove, let A be a connected subset of \mathbb{R} .

Suppose, A is not an interval.

Then \exists $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $a < b < c$ and $a, c \in A$ but $b \notin A$.

Now since A is an interval

We have : $[x, z] \subseteq A$

i.e) $[x, z] \subseteq A_1 \cup A_2$

Every element of $[x, z]$ is either in A_1 or in A_2 .

Now, let $y = \text{l.u.b } \{ [x, z] \cap A_1 \}$

Clearly $x \leq y \leq z$

Hence $y \in A$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then $\exists t \in [x, z] \cap A_1$,

such that,

$$y - \epsilon < t \leq y$$

$$\therefore (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon) \cap ([x, z] \cap A_1) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\therefore y \in \overline{[x, z] \cap A_1}$$

$$y \in [x, z] \cap A_1$$

$$\therefore \boxed{y \in A_1} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Again, $y + \epsilon \in A_2 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $y + \epsilon \leq z$

$$\therefore y \in \overline{A_2}$$

$$\boxed{y \in A_2} \quad (\because A_2 \text{ is closed})$$

$$\therefore y \in A_1 \cap A_2 \quad (\because \text{by (1) and (2)})$$

Which is a $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Since $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$

Hence A is connected.

State and prove Intermediate Value theorem

Statement:

Let f be a real valued continuous function defined on interval I . Then f takes every value between any two values it assumes.

Proof:

Let $a, b \in I$; let $f(a) \neq f(b)$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(a) < f(b)$

Let c be such that $f(a) < c < f(b)$

The interval I is the connected subset of \mathbb{R} .

(\because A subset of \mathbb{R} is connected iff it is an interval)

Since by thm,

"Let M_1 be a connected metric space. Let M_2 be any metric space. Then $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a continuous function. Then $f(M_1)$ is a connected subset of M_2 ".

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is also a connected subset of A .

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is an interval

$\Rightarrow f(a), f(b) \in f(I)$

$[f(a), f(b)] \subseteq f(I)$

$c \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow \boxed{c = f(x)}$ for some $x \in I$

Since f is onto, A and B are non-empty.

Clearly, $A \cup B = M$; $A \cap B = \emptyset$

Then $M = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint open sets.

M is disconnected. Which is a \Leftarrow .

Hence there does not exist a continuous function. (contradiction)

Conversely,

Suppose M is disconnected. Then there exists a disjoint open sets A and B in M .

Such that $M = A \cup B$

Define $f: M \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow clearly f is onto

$$f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

$$f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A, f^{-1}(\{1\}) = B, f^{-1}(\{0,1\}) = M$$

Thus the inverse image of open sets, $\{0,1\}$ is open in

Hence f is continuous and there exists a continuous function.

$f: M \rightarrow \{0,1\} \Rightarrow \Leftarrow M$ is connected.

UNIT - IV

Theorem: M is connected iff every continuous function from M is not onto.

Statement: A Metric Space M is connected iff there does not exists a continuous function f from M onto the discrete Metric Space $\{0,1\}$.

Proof: Suppose there exists a \neq onto function

$$f: M \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

Since $\{0,1\}$ is discrete, $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ are open.

$\therefore A = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $B = f^{-1}(\{1\})$ are open in M .

Let $A_1 = (-\infty, b) \cap A$

$A_2 = (b, \infty) \cap A$

Since, $(-\infty, b)$ and (b, ∞) are open in \mathbb{R} ,

A_1 and A_2 are open sets in A .

Also, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ and $A_1 \cup A_2 = A$.

Further, $a \in A_1$ and $c \in A_2$

Hence, $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_2 \neq \emptyset$

Thus, A is the union of two disjoint non-empty open sets A_1 and A_2 .

Hence A is not connected. Which is a \Rightarrow \Leftarrow

Hence A is an interval.

Conversely -

Let A be an interval.

To prove: A is connected.

Suppose, A is not connected.

Let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, Where $A_1 \neq \emptyset$, $A_2 \neq \emptyset$.

$A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ and A_1 and A_2 are closed sets in A .

choose $x \in A_1$ and $z \in A_2$.

Since, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$

We have $x \neq z$

Without loss of generality,

We assume that $x < z$.

Unit - 2

Defn:

Let M be a metric space. A family of open sets $\{G_\alpha\}$ in M is called an open cover for M if $\bigcup G_\alpha = M$.

A subfamily of $\{G_\alpha\}$ which itself is an open cover is called a subcover.

A metric space M is said to be compact if every open cover for M has a finite subcover.

(i) For each family of open sets $\{G_\alpha\}$ such that $\bigcup G_\alpha = M$, there exists a finite subfamily $\{G_{\alpha_1}, G_{\alpha_2}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n}\}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} = M$.

Defn:

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set M is said to have the finite intersection property if any finite members of \mathcal{F} have non-empty intersection.

Defn:

A metric space M is said to be totally bounded if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a finite number of elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that

$$B(x_1, \epsilon) \cup B(x_2, \epsilon) \cup \dots \cup B(x_n, \epsilon) = M$$

A non-empty subset A of a metric space M is said to be totally bounded if the subspace A is a totally bounded metric space.

Dfn:

Let (x_n) be sequence in a metric space M .
 Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ be an increasing sequence of the integers. Then (x_{n_k}) is called a subsequence of (x_n) .

Dfn:

A metric space M is said to be sequentially compact if every sequence in M has a convergent subsequence.

Thm:

i) P.T. \mathbb{R} with usual metric is not compact.

Proof:

Consider the family of open intervals $\{(-n, n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

This is a family of open sets in \mathbb{R} .

Clearly $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, n) = \mathbb{R}$.

$\therefore \{(-n, n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an open cover for \mathbb{R} and this open cover has no finite subcover.

$\therefore \mathbb{R}$ is not compact.

ii) P.T. $(0, 1)$ with usual metric is not compact.

Proof:

Consider the family of open intervals $\{(1/n, 1) | n=2, 3, \dots\}$.

Clearly $\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} (1/n, 1) = (0, 1)$

say, $f^{-1}(G_{d_1}), f^{-1}(G_{d_2}), \dots, f^{-1}(G_{d_m})$

$$f^{-1}(G_{d_1}) \cup f^{-1}(G_{d_2}) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(G_{d_m}) = m_r$$

$$f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^m G_{d_i}) = m_r$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m G_{d_i} = f(m_r) = m_2.$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m G_{d_i} = m_2.$$

$\therefore G_{d_1}, G_{d_2}, \dots, G_{d_m}$ is an open cover for m_2 .

This gives a finite subcover for m_2 .

$\therefore m_2$ is compact.

Any compact subset A of a metric space m is bounded.

Proof:

Let $x_0 \in A$.

Consider $\{B(x_0, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Clearly $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(x_0, n) = m$.

$\therefore \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(x_0, n) \supseteq A$.

Since A is compact there exists a finite subfamily say,

$B(x_0, n_1), B(x_0, n_2), \dots, B(x_0, n_k)$ such that,

$\bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_0, n_i) \supseteq A$.

Let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$

Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_0, n_i) = B(x_0, n_0)$

$\therefore B(x_0, n_0) \supseteq A$.

These finite number of G_{α} is together with
 G_{α_1} covers $[a, c]$

$$\therefore c \in S.$$

To prove:

$$c = b.$$

Suppose, $c \neq b$.

Then choose $x_2 \in [a, b]$ such that $x_2 > c$

$$[c, x_2] \subset G_{\alpha},$$

As before $[a, x_2]$ can be covered by a finite number of G_{α} is

$$\text{Hence } x_2 \in S.$$

But $x_2 > c$ which is a f

Since c is l.u.b of S

$$\therefore c = b$$

$\therefore [a, b]$ can be covered by a finite number of G_{α} is

$\therefore [a, b]$ is a compact subset of R .

$$\therefore \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha \right)^c = M^c$$

$$\therefore \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha^c = \emptyset$$

Since G_α is open, G_α^c is closed for each α

$\therefore \mathcal{F} = \{G_\alpha^c / \alpha \in I\}$ is a family of closed sets whose intersection is empty.

Hence, by hypothesis, this family of closed sets doesn't have the finite intersection property.

Hence, there exists a finite sub-collection of \mathcal{F} say,

$\{G_1^c, G_2^c, \dots, G_n^c\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i^c = \emptyset$.

$$\therefore \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i \right)^c = \emptyset.$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i = M.$$

$\therefore \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ is a finite subcover of the given open cover.

Hence M is compact.

Theorem:

Any compact metric space is totally bounded.

Proof:

Let M be a compact metric space.

Then $\{B(x, \epsilon) / x \in M\}$ is an open cover for M .

Since, M is compact this open cover has a finite subcover say,

$$B(x_1, \epsilon) \supset B(x_2, \epsilon) \supset \dots \supset B(x_n, \epsilon)$$

We know that $B(x_0, r_0)$ is a bounded set
and a subset of a bounded set is bounded.
Hence A is bounded.

Hahn-Banach theorem.

Statement:

Any closed interval $[a, b]$ is a compact set in \mathbb{R} .

Proof:

Let $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of open sets in \mathbb{R} such that,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha \supseteq [a, b]$$

Let $S = \{x \mid x \in [a, b]\}$ and $[a, x]$ can be covered by a finite number of G_α is clearly $a \in S$, and hence $S \neq \emptyset$. Also S is bounded above by b .

Let c denote the L.U.B. of S clearly $c \in [a, b]$.

$\therefore c \in G_\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in I$ since G_α is open there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon) \subseteq G_\alpha$,

choose $x_1 \in (c - \epsilon, c) \subseteq G_\alpha$,

now since $x_1 \in (c - \epsilon, c) \subseteq G_\alpha$ can be covered by finite number of G_α is

Hence (x_n) converges to some point $x \in [a, b]$.
Thus, every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}
converges to some point x in \mathbb{R} .
Hence \mathbb{R} is complete.

Continuous image of a compact metric space is compact.

Statement:

Let f be a continuous mapping from a compact metric space m_1 to any metric space m_2 .
Then $f(m_1)$ is compact.

Proof:

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$f(m_1) = m_2$$

Let $\{G_\alpha\}$ be a family of open set in m_2
such that $\cup G_\alpha = m_2$.

$$\therefore \cup f^{-1}(G_\alpha) = f(m_1)$$

$$f^{-1}(\cup G_\alpha) = m_1$$

$$\cup (f^{-1}(G_\alpha)) = m_1$$

Also, since f is continuous.

$f^{-1}(G_\alpha)$ is open in m_1 for each α .

$\{f^{-1}(G_\alpha)\}$ is an open cover for m_1 .

Since m_1 is compact then the open cover has a finite subcover.

$\therefore \{U_{n+1} \mid n=0,1,2,\dots\}$ is an open cover for $(0,1)$ and this open cover has no finite subcover.

Hence $(0,1)$ is not compact.

Thm: A metric space M is compact iff any family of closed sets with finite intersection property has non-empty intersection.

Proof:

$$\text{T.P: } \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{Suppose } \cap A_\alpha = \emptyset \text{ then } (\cap A_\alpha)^c = \emptyset^c$$

$$\therefore \cup A_\alpha^c = M.$$

Also since each A_α is closed, A_α^c is open. $\{A_\alpha^c\}$ is an open cover for M . Since M is compact this open cover has a finite subcover say $A_1^c, A_2^c, \dots, A_n^c$

$$\therefore \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^c = M.$$

$$\therefore \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right)^c = M$$

$\therefore \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = \emptyset$ which is a \Rightarrow to the finite intersection property

$$\boxed{\therefore \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset}$$

Conversely, suppose that each family of closed sets in M which finite intersection property has non-empty intersection.

T.P: M is compact, let $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be an open cover for M .

$$\therefore \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha = M.$$

$$\therefore M = B(z_1, \epsilon) \cup B(z_2, \epsilon) \cup \dots \cup B(z_n, \epsilon)$$

$\therefore M$ is totally bounded.

Thm:

Let (x_n) be a cauchy sequence in a metric space M . If (x_n) has a subsequence (x_{n_k}) converging to x , then (x_n) converges to x .

Proof:

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Since (x_n) is a cauchy sequence, if a the integer m , such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \text{ if } n, m \geq m, \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Also since $(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow x$, if a two integer m_2 such that $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ if $n_k \geq m_2 \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

let $m_0 = \max\{m, m_2\}$
and fix $n_k \geq m_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } d(x_n, x) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ if } n \geq m_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x_n, x) < \epsilon \text{ if } n \geq m_0$$

Hence $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Thm: P.T \mathbb{R} with usual metric is complete.

Proof:

Let (x_n) be a cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} .
Then (x_n) is a bounded sequence and hence is contained in a closed interval $[a, b]$.

Now $[a, b]$ is compact and hence is complete.